

## Architectural Review Board 2023-2024 Workplan

**Staff Liaison:** Claire Raybould, AICP, Senior Planner **Lead Department:** Current Planning, Planning and Development Services Division

| About the Board               | The Architectural Review Board is composed of five members at least three of whom are architects, landscape architects, building designers or other design professionals. Terms are for three years. See Palo Alto Municipal Code (PAMC) Chapter 2.21. Residency is not required. For ARB webpage go to bit.ly/paloaltoARB.   |
|-------------------------------|---|
|                               |   |
| Current Boardmembers          | <ul> <li>David Hirsch (Chair)</li> <li>Peter Baltay (Vice Chair)</li> <li>Osma Thompson</li> <li>Yingxi Chan</li> <li>Kendra Rosenberg</li> </ul>   |
|                               |   |
| Mission Statement             | The Architectural Review Board reviews and makes recommendations to the Planning Director on the building design, site planning, landscape planning, massing and facades, material selection, lighting, signage and other related issues for most major new construction including additions and renovations that alter the exterior building face. The type of buildings reviewed include commercial, industrial, and multiple-family residential. In addition, the ARB is a resource as knowledgeable observers of many varied urban environments, to assist Palo Alto as it develops area plans to accommodate additional housing.   |
|                               | The ARB Scope of Review is fully noted in Title 18 of the Municipal Code, Chapter 18.76 under Section 18.76.020   |
|                               |   |
| Prior Year<br>Accomplishments | <ul> <li>The ARB takes prides in its collaborative process of review, where members meld their individual opinions and experience into one democratic summary that improves proposed projects and therefore the quality of the Palo Alto built environment. This past year, the ARB reviewed over 20 projects including 10 major multi-family housing and commercial projects as well as larger retail modifications in Stanford Shopping Center, meeting Goal 1 of the annual work plan for 2022-2023.</li> <li>The ARB provided valuable feedback to assist Council with respect to the permanent parklet program as well as updates to the city's tree protection ordinance. The feedback assisted Council in adopting permanent parklet standards related to a new ordinance related to a permanent parklet program. This work was consistent with Goal 2 of the fiscal year 2023 work plan.</li> </ul> |

| • | The ARB used its expertise in coordination with staff and their consultant to develop Objective Standards from the City's existing subjective guidelines and findings that are unique to the City. This will help to ensure that housing development projects continue to meet the City's high-quality design standards while also going through the City's streamlined review process. This work satisfied Goal 3 of the 2022-2023 work plan. The ARB held an awards ceremony on September 21 <sup>st</sup> to celebrate outstanding projects that have been built in the city between 2015 and 2020, meeting objective 4 of the annual Work Plan for 2022-2023. The ARB adopted updates to its by-laws to conform with Council's new handbook and again more recently to |
|---|--|
| • | The ARB adopted updates to its by-laws to conform with Council's new handbook and again more recently to address new changes to the Brown Act in accordance with Council's direction, meeting Goal 5 of the 2022-2023 annual work plan.  |
| • | The ARB provided feedback for the Homekey project, prioritizing this critical housing project for the homeless.<br>The ARB's feedback ensured that the project is well designed to address the residents needs and is appropriate<br>to its location within the Baylands with respect to colors and massing.   |
| • | The ARB reviewed and swiftly approved amendments to the Stanford Medical Center Master Signage program to accommodate new directional signage, ensuring ease in locating needed medical services.  |

| PROJECT/GOAL 1:   | Review Planning applications for conform             | ance with ARB Findings and Objective Sta                                | andards  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| BENEFICIAL IMPACTS  | TIMELINE   | RESOURCES NEEDED  | MEASURE OF SUCCESS   | STATE MANDATED / LOCAL LAW /<br>COUNCIL-APPROVED |
| This is the Board's main mission and<br>serves to ensure the City develops high<br>quality projects |  | packets and presentations. They ensure                                  | Issuance of recommendations on<br>projects and high-quality architecture<br>throughout the City. | Yes  |
| HIGH PF   | RIORITY  | LOWER P   | RIORITY  | COUNCIL-DIRECTED<br>POLICY UPDATE                |
| <ul> <li>Projects that include new housing<br/>regional housing needs allocation</li> </ul>         | y units that will help with City reach its<br>(RHNA) | <ul> <li>New office projects that will example<br/>imbalance</li> </ul> | cerbate the City's jobs/housing  | N/A  |

| PROJECT/GOAL 2:    | Assist the City as it considers modification<br>objective standards ministerial processes;<br>Coordinated Area Plans. | s to various Objective Standards; Provide<br>Suggest ways to better address different | feedback based on research from proje<br>housing typology and address Objective | ects as they go through the<br>e Standards applicability to |
|--------------------|---|---|---|---|
| BENEFICIAL IMPACTS | TIMELINE  | RESOURCES NEEDED  | MEASURE OF SUCCESS  | STATE MANDATED / LOCAL LAW /<br>COUNCIL-APPROVED            |

| that projects using the ministerial review process/objective standards conform to | objective standards to Council by Fall  | Additional staff at Planning so that the<br>quality and completeness of the work is<br>maintained.   | Council Approval  | No   |
|---|---|--|---|--|
| HIGH PR   | RIORITY   | LOWER P  | RIORITY   | COUNCIL-DIRECTED<br>POLICY UPDATE                |
|   | d SOFA II areas<br>tion of the objective standards for projects<br>or modifications to existing standards based | N/A  |   | No   |
|   | Council directs outreach to boards and co   | als, Policies, Programs or Design Guidelir<br>ommissions, provide comments on a prelin               |   |  |
|   |   | ntown, El Camino Real areas.   |   |  |
| BENEFICIAL IMPACTS  | TIMELINE  | RESOURCES NEEDED   | MEASURE OF SUCCESS  | STATE MANDATED / LOCAL LAW /<br>COUNCIL-APPROVED |
|   |   |  |   | STATE MANDATED / LOCAL LAW /                     |
| This project would enhance implementation of the City's                           | TIMELINE<br>On-going  | RESOURCES NEEDED<br>Planning staff to help research policies<br>and programs; coordination with long | MEASURE OF SUCCESS<br>Increased adherence to<br>Comprehensive Plan policies | STATE MANDATED / LOCAL LAW /<br>COUNCIL-APPROVED |